Heart failure: Best options when ejection fraction is preserved

With few trials focusing on diastolic heart failure, the authors turned to studies of patients with a reduced ejection fraction, as well as consensus and experience, to create this review.
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Most studies of heart failure (HF)—the most common cause of hospitalization in patients older than 65 years—have focused on patients with reduced ejection fraction (EF). Yet half of those hospitalized for acute decompensated HF have a normal left ventricular EF. For these patients, contractility is not the problem—impaired relaxation during diastole is.

Commonly called diastolic HF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFPEF) is a more precise name for this condition. Patients are usually older than those with a reduced EF. Thus, as the US population ages, the prevalence of HFPEF increases, as well.

Diagnostic criteria have been developed for HFPEF, but there are few large, high-quality studies to guide its treatment. Yet family physicians need to be familiar with HFPEF and know how best to treat it. With extrapolation from studies of patients with reduced EF, as well as expert consensus and our own experience, we offer an evidence-based approach to the management of both stable and acute decompensated HFPEF.

A closer look at diastolic dysfunction

Defined as an abnormality of diastolic compliance, filling, or relaxation of the ventricle, diastolic dysfunction can occur whether EF is normal or abnormal. Ventricular diastole includes isovolumic relaxation, early passive filling after mitral valve opening, and active filling during atrial contraction. Transmission of high ventricular pressure to the pulmonary circulation leads to pulmonary edema, dyspnea, and other symptoms of HF. Factors other than abnormal diastolic physiology, such as chronic volume overload, ventricular coupling dyssynchrony, increased autonomic tone leading to reduced...
Although an EKG cannot distinguish between heart failure with reduced or preserved ejection fraction, it should be done to screen for causes of heart failure.

Population studies suggest that 5-year mortality rates for African Americans with HFPEF are higher than for Caucasians with this condition. Other predictors of mortality include older age, male sex, lower left ventricular EF, ischemic disease, impaired renal function, and peripheral arterial disease.

Diagnosing HFPEF: What you’ll see, when to test
The presentation of patients with HFPEF is similar to that of individuals with reduced EF. In an outpatient setting, both groups will have reduced exercise capacity; increased neuroendocrine activation, which may cause chronic fluid retention, vasoconstriction, and tachycardia; and a reduced quality of life.

Neither the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) nor the Heart Failure Society of America (HFSA) recommends screening for asymptomatic left ventricular dysfunction. For those with signs and symptoms of HF, however, echocardiography is a key component of the initial evaluation. Echocar-
Chronic hypertension, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, and coronary artery disease are the major causes of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction.

Diastolic dysfunction is characterized by impaired left ventricular relaxation, atrial pressure, atrial contraction, and blood flow velocity across the mitral valve during diastole. The peak velocity of blood flow during early diastole (called the “E wave”) and late diastole (the atrial contraction, or “A wave”) is measured and the E/A ratio (reflecting the transmitral blood flow pattern) is calculated (FIGURE).

Normally, transmitral flow velocity is greater during early diastole than during atrial contraction, and the E/A ratio is approximately 1.5 (E>A). With early diastolic dysfunction, impaired relaxation prevents blood from flowing passively into the LV during early diastole. This causes reversal of the E/A ratio, which drops to <1 (E<A). As diastolic function worsens, atrial contractions are impaired, and left atrial pressure rises. The result: A reduction in the A wave amplitude and proportionally more blood flow during early diastole and a “pseudonormal” (E>A) ratio, with a greater difference between the E and A than is normally observed. This finding is an independent predictor of all-cause mortality in patients with asymptomatic HF.

**What Doppler echocardiography and the E/A ratio reveal**

Doppler echocardiography is used to further evaluate the characteristics of blood flow, showing the relationship among left ventricular (LV) relaxation, atrial pressure, atrial contraction, and blood flow velocity across the mitral valve during diastole. The peak velocity of blood flow during early diastole (called the “E wave”) and late diastole (the atrial contraction, or “A wave”) is measured and the E/A ratio (reflecting the transmitral blood flow pattern) is calculated (FIGURE).

Normally, transmitral flow velocity is greater during early diastole than during atrial contraction, and the E/A ratio is approximately 1.5 (E>A). With early diastolic dysfunction, impaired relaxation prevents blood from flowing passively into the LV during early diastole. This causes reversal of the E/A ratio, which drops to <1 (E<A). As diastolic function worsens, atrial contractions are impaired, and left atrial pressure rises. The result: A reduction in the A wave amplitude and proportionally more blood flow during early diastole and a “pseudonormal” (E>A) ratio, with a greater difference between the E and A than is normally observed. This finding is an independent predictor of all-cause mortality in patients with asymptomatic HF.

**Case**

Carrie W, a 76-year-old woman referred to you by a colleague, presents for follow-up after being hospitalized for HF. She recalls feeling fatigue, chest pain, and out of breath with even minimal exertion before being admitted to the hospital.

You obtain her hospital records, which show that echocardiography found impaired LV relaxation based on a reversed E/A ratio and an EF of 65%. In addition, BNP was elevated, and a chest x-ray showed pulmonary vascular congestion. You note that her blood pressure was 175/103 mm Hg on admission and an EKG showed LV hypertrophy and sinus tachycardia, but no ischemia.

Before being hospitalized, Ms. W was taking extended-release metoprolol, aspirin, and lisinopril. The hospitalist added lovastatin and increased the daily dose of extended-release metoprolol from 25 to 100 mg.

What changes, if any, would you make in her medication regimen?

**Diastolic dysfunction as chronic disease**

Often asymptomatic, diastolic dysfunction should be thought of as a chronic progressive disease characterized by complex physiologic adaptations that vary over time (See “Staging heart failure: The clinical course of HFPEF” on page 240). Patients with HFPEF have a difficult time tolerating hemody-
namic stress and any perturbation of afterload, heart rate, or ventricular function can precipitate an acute exacerbation.2 Clinical factors that precipitate acute decompensation of HFPEF—which we’ll discuss a bit later—including uncontrolled hypertension; atrial fibrillation; and noncardiovascular comorbidities such as lung disease, renal impairment, or sepsis.2

The Acute Decompensated HEart failure national REgistry (ADHERE), in which the records of well over 80,000 Medicare patients were reviewed, found that more than 60% of those hospitalized with HFPEF had uncontrolled hypertension, with a systolic pressure >140 mm Hg; 21% had atrial fibrillation.2 These findings emphasize the importance of aggressive blood pressure (BP) and heart rate control.

Management of HFPEF is goal directed
The aim of pharmacologic treatment of HFPEF is to maintain fluid balance, prevent tachycardia, treat and prevent ischemia, and control hypertension (TABLE).14,17-30 While the use of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), and beta-blockers, among other pharmacologic agents, is well studied for patients with reduced EF, there is limited evidence to guide the treatment of those with HFPEF. Although no single agent or drug class has been shown to be superior for such patients, there are a number of pharmacologic treatments to consider.

Inhibition of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system
Pathologic activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) contributes to elevated systolic and diastolic pressure, LV hypertrophy, and LV fibrosis. Inhibition of this system is a promising treatment modality for HFPEF.31

ACE inhibitors. Experimental studies suggest that ACE inhibitors benefit the diastolic properties of the heart, in both short- and long-term use. The PEP-CHF trial found that for older patients with diastolic dysfunction, perindopril led to significant improvements,
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The E/A ratio* and what it reveals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normal diastolic function</th>
<th>Mild diastolic dysfunction</th>
<th>Moderate diastolic dysfunction</th>
<th>Severe diastolic dysfunction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E/A ratio</td>
<td>E&gt;A</td>
<td>E&lt;A</td>
<td>E&gt;A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LV relaxation</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Impaired</th>
<th>Impaired</th>
<th>Impaired</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atrial pressure</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>Very elevated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial contraction</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Impaired</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A, atrial contraction; E, early passive filling; MVC, mitral valve closes; MVO, mitral valve opens.

*E/A ratio represents the relationship between the peak velocity of blood flow during early diastole (E wave) and late diastole (A wave).

Adapted from: Aurigemma GP, Gaasch WH. N Engl J Med. 2004.1

A “pseudo-normal” E/A ratio is an independent predictor of all-cause mortality in patients with asymptomatic heart failure.
Staging heart failure: The clinical course of HFPEF

The ACC/AHA staging system for HF can be applied to patients with HFPEF, both to classify disease severity and to track the progression of the disease. Patients at Stage A are at high risk of developing HF, but early and aggressive treatment of hypertension and other cardiovascular risk factors may delay or potentially prevent the onset of overt disease. Stage B refers to patients with known structural disease, such as a history of myocardial infarction or systolic or diastolic dysfunction, but no symptoms of HF.

Patients at Stage C have evidence of structural disease and symptoms of HF, such as fatigue, shortness of breath, or reduced exercise tolerance. This stage represents the spectrum of patients falling into New York Heart Association (NYHA) Class 1 through 3 categories. Finally, patients at Stage D—analogous to NYHA Class 4—have refractory HF, with marked symptoms even at rest despite maximal medical therapy.

BP and rate control

In small trials, beta-blockers have been found to improve diastolic function as seen on echocardiography, but data on morbidity and mortality are lacking. A secondary analysis of the OPTIMIZE-HF registry found that beta-blocker therapy was associated with reduced mortality and readmission in patients with reduced EF, but not in those with normal EF.

Findings from the SENIORS trial were more promising: Treatment with nebivolol reduced both mortality and readmission rates for elderly patients with HF, with similar benefits for those with reduced and preserved EF. Overall, beta-blockers appear to be a reasonable choice for heart rate and/or BP control in patients who have HFPEF and atrial fibrillation or hypertension. Carvedilol, long-acting metoprolol, and bisoprolol have been shown to reduce mortality in HF with reduced EF, and it is reasonable to choose one of these agents for patients with preserved EF, as well.

Calcium channel blockers (CCBs) may be useful in treating patients with HFPEF for both BP and heart rate control, as well. Theoretically, CCBs may also improve the process of relaxation by altering intracellular calcium cycling during the contractile cycle in myocytes. This contrasts with the management of HF patients with reduced EF, for whom the use of nonselective CCBs such as diltiazem and verapamil may adversely affect contractility.

In small RCTs, verapamil has been found to improve HF symptoms and exercise tolerance in patients with HFPEF, but no evidence of improved outcomes or mortality rates with CCB use has been found.

Other pharmacologic options to consider

Aldosterone antagonist therapy is an important component of treatment for patients with HF with reduced EF. Data supporting the use of spironolactone use from the RALES trial and eplerenone in the EPHEUS and EMPHASIS-HF trials suggest a reduction in mortality in patients with low (<35%) LVEF. For patients with preserved EF, however, spironolactone is not generally recommended.
A large National Institutes of Health–sponsored trial is underway to determine if the drug is beneficial for patients with preserved LVEF, and will build on a small study in which 30 patients with HFPEF showed improved myocardial function after treatment with spironolactone. Until more data become available, the risks of using aldosterone antagonists outweigh the evidence to support their use in this patient population.

**Diuretics** are an important component of treatment for all patients with HF and fluid overload. The Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering treatment to prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT) showed a reduced incidence of symptomatic HFPEF in patients taking diuretics. As is the case with patients with reduced EF, those with preserved EF should be treated with diuretics if they have symptoms of fluid overload.

**Statins.** Intensive lipid lowering with statin therapy has been shown in observational studies to benefit patients with HFPEF with respect to mortality, independent of baseline low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. RCTs are needed to confirm these observations, but statin therapy is recommended for the secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease, independent of the presence of diastolic dysfunction or HFPEF.

**Guard against hypotension.** Patients with diastolic dysfunction are susceptible to hypotension if there is a rapid reduction in preload with diuretics, nonselective CCBs, or nitrates, so it is important that doses be titrated slowly.

---

**TABLE**

**Management of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction—matching treatment and goals**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment goal</th>
<th>Modality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduce congestion</td>
<td>Diuretics&lt;br&gt;Salt restriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain atrial contraction</td>
<td>A-V pacing&lt;br&gt;Cardioversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevent tachycardia</td>
<td>A-V pacing&lt;br&gt;Beta-blockers&lt;br&gt;Calkium channel blockers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevent/treat ischemia</td>
<td>Antiplatelet therapy&lt;br&gt;Beta-blockers&lt;br&gt;Calkium channel blockers&lt;br&gt;Revascularization&lt;br&gt;Statins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control hypertension</td>
<td>Antihypertensive agents:&lt;br&gt;- ACE inhibitors&lt;br&gt;- ARBs&lt;br&gt;- Calcium channel blockers&lt;br&gt;- Diuretics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote regression of LV remodeling</td>
<td>ACE inhibitors&lt;br&gt;ARBs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve exercise capacity</td>
<td>Supervised exercise program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARBs, angiotensin receptor blockers; LV, left ventricle.
Nonpharmacologic measures are important, too

In addition to optimizing treatment of comorbid conditions, patients with HFPEF should be advised that lifestyle modifications such as weight loss, smoking cessation, and dietary changes can do much to reduce the risk. You can help by providing an exercise “prescription” (with a specified intensity, frequency, and duration) and dietary guidelines, with emphasis on the importance of a low-sodium diet to prevent fluid overload.10,30 Recommend local programs for patients with HF, which many hospitals and health systems offer as part of their efforts to reduce readmission rates.

Consider cardioversion

Tachycardia shortens the time for filling during diastole; thus, it is poorly tolerated in patients with diastolic dysfunction and could trigger acute decompensation. To avoid the risk, restoration of sinus rhythm should be considered for patients with HFPEF and atrial fibrillation. Patients with known paroxysmal or permanent atrial fibrillation and preserved EF should be seen by a cardiologist to determine whether direct current cardioversion or ablation with a permanent pacemaker is appropriate.11 When cardioversion is contraindicated, a beta-blocker is needed to control heart rate and improve hemodynamics.

Patients with stable angina and HFPEF should be evaluated for revascularization when medical therapy alone is not sufficient for symptom relief.10 Here, too, a cardiology consult is indicated for any patient who has HF and an abnormal noninvasive stress test or persistent symptoms despite optimal drug therapy.

Recognizing and responding to acute decompensated HFPEF

The initial response to acute decompensated HFPEF, like that of HF with reduced EF, should be focused on restoring volume status and providing oxygenation, ventilation, and vasodilator therapy in some cases.11 Unlike those with acute decompensated HF with reduced EF, however, patients with HFPEF can safely tolerate the initiation of beta-blockers in the acute phase, especially when rate control is needed.3 Inotropic agents like digoxin and dobutamine, however, are contraindicated.3

Guidelines recommend hospitalization for patients with abnormal vital signs, arrhythmia, and suspected acute coronary syndromes, and consideration of hospitalization for those with associated comorbid conditions, new HF, or progressive fluid overload.13

CASE ▶ Because Ms. W has a normal BP and heart rate and is feeling well, you decline to alter her medication regimen. You do, however, recommend that she begin an exercise program, adopt a low-sodium diet, and maintain regular contact with your office so you can evaluate any changes in status.

You introduce Ms. W to the nurse case manager in your office. The nurse works with the patient to develop an action plan that includes daily tracking of her weight and sodium intake; a progressive walking program, starting with 2-minute sessions and progressing to 15 to 30 minutes 3 to 5 times a week; weekly telephone check-ins; and immediate calls to report any weight increase or symptoms of HF.

At follow-up 6 months later, Ms. W has improved BP and reports that she enjoys her new exercise routine. She has more energy and denies any edema or breathing difficulties.
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